

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Tradelmark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,374	03/29/2004	Scott A. Kroggel	9008-49	7602
20792 75	590 03/04/2005		EXAM	INER
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			RAMIREZ, RAMON O	
PO BOX 37428	3			
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
0 /	10/812,374	KROGGEL, SCOTT A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply	••	·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTLY a statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  Allowance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application Papers	ithdrawn from consideration. ected.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc correction is required if the drawing(s	ee. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International 6  * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap le priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04.</li> </ol>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) -

Art Unit: 3632

#### **Detailed** Action

This is the first Office Action corresponding to original filing. The application contains 30 claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross et al. (Pat No 5,035,392).

The patent to Gross et al. discloses an adjustable display device comprising panels (14, 16), slidably connected (see element 12) to each other, and having support means (32) which is a hinge connecting the display to a computer monitor. The surface of the panels is a writing surface.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 2, 4, 14-18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al. (Pat No 5,035,392).

To substitute a whiteboard and a corkboard for the panels shown by Gross et al. is considered to be an obvious matter of engineering choice to those skilled in the art. This is considered a matter of expediency. Note that the structure of the device shown by Gross et al. would not be affected by using other type of panels.

Claims 8, 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al. in view of Schwartz (Pat No 5,638,096).

The patent to Schwartz discloses the use on indicia on a computer monitor.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the device shown by Gross et al. with indicia as shown by Schwartz for several purpose, for example aesthetics.

Claims 9-12, and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al. in view of Sunbland (Pat No 6,443,415).

The patent to Sunbland discloses an organizer used with a computer comprising a writing holder (16) holding a writing implement (13) and an eraser holder (15) holding an eraser (14). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provide the device shown by Gross et al. with a writing holder and an eraser holder if one of the panel is a whiteboard.

Art Unit: 3632

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 20 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gross et al. (5,122,941), Isham et al. (5,292,099), Waters (4,960,257), Ko (6,290,200), Rebeske (6,295,038) and Dwyer (6,543,167) show devices of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (703) 308-0748 (after April 2005, the phone may be (571) 272-6821). The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LESLIE BRAUN can be reached on (703) 308-2156 (after April 2005, the phone may be (571) 272-6815).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A shortened period for response to this Office Action expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

RAMON O. RAMIREZ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3632

ROR March 1, 2005